

Congress of the United States
Washington, DC 20510

June 17, 2015

The Honorable Thomas Wheeler
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW,
Washington, DC 20554

Dear Mr. Chairman:

As you are aware, our Nation faces a considerable cyber threat. That threat continues to grow in terms of both sophistication and frequency, from foreign state actors, criminals, hacktivists, and terrorists who will not hesitate to steal, destroy, or vandalize our cyber assets.

Cyber criminals can utilize a variety of techniques to gain access to our computer networks but wireless networks are particularly vulnerable to attack. Because wireless networks are ubiquitous—present in homes, businesses of all sizes, restaurants, hotels, and airports—and do not require physical access for a connection, securing them is a unique challenge. For example, wireless networks are especially vulnerable to man-in-the-middle attacks, denial of service attacks, and eavesdropping.¹

No one is immune from cyber incidents, as evidenced by recent intrusions at JP Morgan Chase, Anthem, Home Depot, Target, the White House and, most recently, the Office of Personnel Management. To protect our Nation and its citizens, the Federal Government must be a leader in best practices on cybersecurity and ensure the legal and regulatory environments our businesses operate in provide them the flexibility they need to secure their networks against attack. In discharging that leadership role it is imperative that government agencies give consistent guidance and support to businesses in meeting and defeating cybersecurity threats.

Unfortunately, we are concerned this goal is not being met due to conflicting information from the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) and the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) regarding the use of Wireless Intrusion Detection Systems and Wireless Intrusion Prevention Systems (WIDS/WIPS) to protect wireless networks and users from cyber-attacks.

¹ See, e.g., MURUGIAH SOUPPAYA & KAREN SCARFONE, NAT'L INST. OF STANDARDS & TECH., SPECIAL PUB. 800-153, GUIDELINES FOR SECURING WIRELESS LOCAL AREA NETWORKS (WLANS) (DRAFT) 8–9 (2011).

In September 2011, DHS's National Cyber Security Division issued the *Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) Reference Architecture* in which it discussed the importance of WIDS/WIPS.² Because WIDS/WIPS can “detect” and “take countermeasures against the WLAN [wireless local area network] threats,” the reference architecture concluded that “WIDS/WIPS deployment is critical to the WLAN security and operation, and therefore is required by the WLAN Reference Architecture.”³

However, on January 27, 2015, the FCC's Enforcement Bureau issued an Enforcement Advisory which suggests that a WLAN operator violates federal law when using WIDS/WIPS to “block” a wireless network access point that is being used to launch a cybersecurity attack against the operator's network or its customers.⁴ The agency also intimated that equipment with WIDS/WIPS functionality is the equivalent of a “jammer,” the operation of which is unlawful.⁵

To better understand the coordination between the FCC and DHS and other agencies on this matter, and your position on use of WIDS/WIPS to protect networks against cyber-attack, we request you provide answers to the following questions:

Interagency Coordination

- (1) With what other agencies, including DHS and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), did the FCC coordinate in developing the Enforcement Advisories referenced above and how did it coordinate with those agencies?

Consistency with Existing Federal Cybersecurity Initiatives

- (2) The *WLAN Reference Architecture* “offers best practices” for WLAN security. Is there any policy reason the private sector should not be encouraged to follow DHS's guidance in protecting their networks?
- (3) What recommendations would you offer to a WLAN operator in the private sector about the use of WIDS/WIPS in protecting its network from cybersecurity threats, given the apparent conflict between DHS's *WLAN Reference Architecture* and the FCC Enforcement Advisories referenced above?

² DEP'T OF HOMELAND SEC., NAT'L CYBER SEC. DIV., WIRELESS LOCAL AREA NETWORK (WLAN) REFERENCE ARCHITECTURE § 4.4 (2011).

³ *Id.*

⁴ Fed. Comm'n Comm'n, DA 15-113, Enforcement Advisory: WARNING: Wi-Fi Blocking is Prohibited (Jan. 27, 2015).

⁵ See Fed. Comm'n Comm'n, DA 12-347, Enforcement Advisory: Cell Jammers, GPS Jammers, and Other Jamming Devices (Mar. 6, 2012).

- (4) Would the use of WIDS/WIPS to detect and stop a cybersecurity threat be consistent with the use of mitigation efforts “to prevent expansion of an event, mitigate its effects, and eradicate the incident,” as recommended in the NIST *Framework for Improving Critical Infrastructure Cybersecurity*?⁶
- (5) Would the use of WIDS/WIPS to detect and stop a cybersecurity threat be consistent with the use of “Intrusion Detection-Protection” to prevent, mitigate, respond, and recover from “cyber-attack incidents,” as recommended in the Communications Security, Reliability, and Interoperability Council’s *Cybersecurity Risk Management and Best Practices* report?⁷

Permitted and Non-Permitted Uses of WIDS/WIPS

- (6) Under what circumstances is the use of WIDS/WIPS permitted and under what circumstances is it prohibited?
- (7) If a malicious actor sets up a wireless network access point designed to spoof another, legitimate access point in order to steal personal information from users of the legitimate access point,⁸ is the operator of the legitimate access point permitted to use WIDS/WIPS to block that access point and thereby protect unsuspecting users from associating to it?
- (8) If a malicious actor sets up a wireless access point that is being used to launch attacks against another wireless network, is the operator of the wireless network being attacked permitted to use WIDS/WIPS to block that access point in order to protect its network?
- (9) Are Federal agencies operating WLANs required or advised to utilize WIDS/WIPS to protect their networks from cybersecurity incidents? If so, why should the private sector be prohibited from using the same technology to protect their networks from cybersecurity incidents?

We request your responses to these questions as soon as possible, but no later than 5:00 p.m. on July 2, 2015.

⁶ NAT’L INST. OF STANDARDS & TECH., FRAMEWORK FOR IMPROVING CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE CYBERSECURITY 34 (2014) [hereinafter NIST CYBERSECURITY FRAMEWORK] (Mitigation RS.MI).

⁷ COMM’N SEC., RELIABILITY AND INTEROPERABILITY COUNCIL, WORKING GROUP 4, CYBERSECURITY RISK MANAGEMENT AND BEST PRACTICES: FINAL REPORT 296–301, 308 (2015) [hereinafter CSRIC BEST PRACTICES].

⁸ For example, a malicious actor might setup a wireless access point in a hotel with the name of the hotel as part of the access point name (SSID) or use a spoofed MAC address of a valid station or access point in the hotel’s network, to deceive users into thinking the hotel is operating the access point and connecting to it.

The Honorable Thomas Wheeler
June 17, 2015
Page 4

If you have any questions about this request, please contact William McKenna of Chairman Johnson's staff at [REDACTED] and Brett DeWitt of Chairman McCaul's staff at [REDACTED]. Thank you again for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,



RON JOHNSON
Chairman
Senate Committee on Homeland
Security & Governmental Affairs



MICHAEL T. MCCAUL
Chairman
House Committee on
Homeland Security

Cc: The Honorable Jeh Johnson, Secretary, Department of Homeland Security
The Honorable Thomas R. Carper, Ranking Minority Member, Senate Committee on
Homeland Security & Governmental Affairs
The Honorable Bennie G. Thompson, Ranking Minority Member, House Committee on
Homeland Security
The Honorable Mignon Clyburn, Commissioner, Federal Communications Commission
The Honorable Jessica Rosenworcel, Commissioner, Federal Communications
Commission
The Honorable Ajit Pai, Commissioner, Federal Communications Commission
The Honorable Michael O'Rielly, Commissioner, Federal Communications Commission